| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4 | ERICK M. FERRAN, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 009554 HITZKE & FERRAN 2110 E. Flamingo Road, Suite 206 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Telephone No.: (702) 496-7668 Facsimile No.: (702) 462-2646 Attorney for Defendant |  |  |
|------------------|---|--|--|
| 5                | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |  |  |
| 6                | DISTRICT OF NEVADA  |  |  |
| 7                | * * *   |  |  |
| 9                | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,   |  |  |
| 10               | Plaintiff,  | CASE NO.: 2:22-mj-00026-DJA                        |  |
| 11               | VS.   | ORDER TO CONTINUE TRIAL                            |  |
| 12               | FERNANDO TAPIA-MORALES,   | (Second Request)                                   |  |
| 13<br>14<br>15   | Defendant.  |  |  |
| 16               | IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND   | AGREED, by and between ANGELICA                    |  |
| 17               | MARMORSTEIN, ESQ., Assistant United States Attorney, counsel for the United States o  |  |  |
| 18<br>19         | America, and ERICK M. FERRAN, ESQ., counsel for Defendant FERNANDO TAPIA  |  |  |
| 20               | MORALES, that the Trial date in the above-captioned matter, currently set for October 19, 2022  |  |  |
| 21               | at 9:00 a.m., be continued for sixty (60) days or to a time convenient to this Honorable Court.   |  |  |
| 22               | This stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:   |  |  |
| 23               | 1. Counsel for the Defendant needs add  | litional time to prepare for trial in the case     |  |
| 24               |   |  |  |
| 25               |   | ll resolution of the matter without going to trial |  |
| 26               |   |  |  |
| 27               | counsel for Defendant is also currently   | in trial, creating a scheduling conflict;          |  |
| 28               |   |  |  |

### 

| 1        | 2. Defense Counsel and Assistant United States attorney are currently in negotiations;                  |  |
|----------|---|--|
| 2        | 3. Defendant Tapia-Morales is not in custody and does not object to the continuance.                    |  |
| 3        | 4. All parties involved agree to the continuance.   |  |
| 4        | 5. This is the second request for a continuance of trial.   |  |
| 5        | 6. Denial of this request for continuance would result in a miscarriage of justice.                     |  |
| 6<br>7   | 7. This request for a continuance is made in good faith and is not intended to delay the                |  |
| 8        | proceedings in this matter.   |  |
| 9        | 8. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing the tim                 |  |
| 10       | within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 1                         |  |
| 11       | U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), when considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. §                                 |  |
| 12       |   |  |
| 13       | 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).   |  |
| 14       | 9. For all the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would be best served by                        |  |
| 15       | continuance of the trial date.  |  |
| 16       | DATED this 18 <sup>th</sup> day of October, 2022  |  |
| 17       | /s/ Erick M. Ferran, Esq. /s/ ANGELICA MARMORSTEIN, ESQ.  |  |
| 18<br>19 | ERICK M. FERRAN, ESQ. ANGELICA MARMORSTEIN, ESQ. Counsel for Defendant Assistant United States Attorney |  |
| 20       |   |  |
| 21       |   |  |
| 22       |   |  |
| 23       |   |  |
| 24       |   |  |
| 25       |   |  |
| 26       |   |  |
| 27       |   |  |
| 28       |   |  |

## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 2.1 22 23 24 25

26

27

28

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

FERNANDO TAPIA-MORALES,

Defendant.

CASE NO.: 2:22-mj-00026-DJA

ORDER TO CONTINUE TRIAL

#### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

Based on the pending Stipulations of the parties, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

- Counsel for the Defendant needs additional time to prepare for trial in the case, including conducting legal research and review of the discovery. The Parties also need additional time to continue exploring full resolution of the matter without going to trial; counsel for Defendant has a scheduling conflict requiring additional time as well.
- 2. Defendant TAPIA-MORALES is not in custody and does not object to the continuance;
- 3. All parties involved agree to the continuance;
- 4. This is the second request for a continuance filed herein;
- 5. Denial of this request for continuance would result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 6. This request for a continuance is made in good faith and is not intended to delay the proceedings in this matter.

7. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), when considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for trial, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- 2. This request for a continuance is made in good faith and is not intended to delay the proceedings in this matter.
- 3. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), when considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
- 4. For all the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would be best served by a continuance of the trial date.

**ORDER** IT IS ORDERED that the trial scheduled for October 19, 2022, at 9:00 a.m., be continued. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Trial in this matter be scheduled for December 28, 2022, at 9:00 a.m., Courtroom 3A. DATED this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2022. DANIEL J. ALBREGTS United States Magistrate Judge